



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/038,984 | 01/04/2002 | Yin-Xiong Li | 275.0003 0102 | 9705 | |
| 26813 | 90 10/05/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| • | RAASCH & GEBHA | VIVLEMORE, TRACY ANN | | | |
| P.O. BOX 581 MINNEAPOI | 1415 JIS, MN 55458 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| • | | | 1635 | | |

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Advisory Action | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | | | | | | |

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|---|
| 10/038,984 | LI ET AL. | • |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Tracy Vivlemore | 1635 | |

| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Tracy Vivlemore | 1635 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>14 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH | IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION | FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of | the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| | nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be | e filed within two mon | the of the date | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | because | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | • • | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | jected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | I: | (DTOL 204) | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the objection to the specification for presence of new matter. | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | illowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendr | ient canceling | | | | |
| The hon-allowable claim(s). 7. ☑ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. | | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: <u>21,75 and 76</u> . | | • | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7,15-20,22-24,27-32,39,48,62,63,72</u> | -74 and 78-80 | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | |
| showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation is entered. | The state of the s | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | ince because: | | | | |
| See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☑ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/ŞB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 8/10/05 | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | TV | | | | | |
| | ANDREW WANG | September 30, 200 | 5 | | | | |
| SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | | | | | |

Part of Paper No. 20050930 500

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the amendments to the claims do not overcome the 112, first paragraph enablement rejection. The amended claims are directed to a method of attenuating gene expression in zebrafish cells in vivo, which encompasses not only the exemplified embryo embodiments but also attenuation of gene expression in adult zebrafish. The use of the phrase "zebrafish cells" in the final rejection was meant to refer to cultured zebrafish cells, not zebrafish cells from any stage of development such as an adult. Note that the same sentence states the specification "does not reasonably provide enablement for attenuating the expression of any gene in vivo in any vertebrate cell except zebrafish embryos".